W.P. 1151 (SS) 06:

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Parikshit Saini, learned counsel for the petitioners, Smt. Anjali Bhargava, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India/respondent no.1, Sri Harendra Belwal, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttaranchal/ respondent nos. 2 & 4 and Smt. Beena Pandey, learned Standing Counsel for State of U.P./ respondent no.3.

Smt. Anjali Bhargava, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India has submitted that the petitioners have finally been allocated to the State of U.P. The parties have also submitted that the controversy raised by means of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the this Court delivered on 12th June 2006 passed in writ petition no. 748 (SS) 2006 Mohd. Shakeel and four others vs. Union of India and four other, as such, the present writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and observations expressed in the aforesaid judgment.

In view of the aforesaid statement the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms and observations made in writ petition no. 748 (SS) 2006 Mohd. Shakeel and four others vs. Union of India and four others, delivered on 12th June 2006. The interim relief application no. 10839/06 also stands disposed of.

(M.M.G., J.)

24.08.2006:
NCM: